



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,453	09/26/2006	Michael Kretschmar	LNK-019	1342
31496 7590 07/07/2009 SMITH PATENT CONSULTING CONSULTING, LLC 3309 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
TSAY, MARSHA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1656				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/07/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/594,453

**Applicant(s)**

KRETSCHMAR ET AL.

**Examiner**

Marsha M. Tsay

**Art Unit**

1656

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marsha M. Tsay.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) C. Smith.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 6, 18, 22 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wallace et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A copy of proposed claim amendments was faxed in by Applicants' representative and discussed. The use of closed claim language "consisting" of in claim 2 was discussed. The steps of 2(ii) and 2(iii) was discussed since it is unclear what step(s) are involved in "removing" and "treating." It was noted that prior art may still be applicable to claim 18. The improper dependency of claims 22, 24 was also noted. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maryam Monshipouri/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656